absence of a two-dimensional extended $S \cdots S$ network, it is no surprise that metallic conduction is not observed in these compounds.

Work at the Argonne National Laboratory is sponsored by the US Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences, under Contract W-31-109-ENG-38.

References

- BENO, M. A., COX, D. D., WILLIAMS, J. M. & KWAK, J. F. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 1334-1337.
- BENO, M. A., GEISER, U., KOSTKA, K. L., WANG, H. H., WEBB, K. S., FIRESTONE, M. A., CARLSON, K. D., NUÑEZ, L., WHANGBO, M.-H. & WILLIAMS, J. M. (1987). *Inorg. Chem.* 26, 1912–1920.
- BONDI, A. (1964). J. Phys. Chem. 68, 441-451.
- BUSING, W. R. & LEVY, H. A. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 142-146.
- GERLACH, G., HÖNLE, W. & SIMON, A. (1982). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 486, 7-21.
- Hönle, W., Hettich, B. & Simon, A. (1987). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 42, 248-250.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)

- LARSON, A. C. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 664-665.
- LAUKHIN, V. N., KOSTYUCHENKO, E. E., SUSHKO, YU. V., SHCHEGOLEV, I. F. & YAGUBSKII, E. B. (1985). JETP Lett. (English transl.), 41, 81–84.
- MAIN, P., FISKE, S. J., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J.-P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1980). MULTAN80. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- MURATA, K., TOKUMOTO, M., ANZAI, H., BANDO, H., SAITO, G., KAJIMURA, K. & ISHIGURO, T. J. (1985). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn, 54, 1236–1237.
- STROUSE, C. (1978, 1986). UCLA Crystallographic Package. Univ. of California, Los Angeles, USA.
- WANG, H. H., BENO, M. A., GEISER, U., FIRESTONE, M. A., WEBB, K. S., NUÑEZ, L., CRABTREE, G. W., CARLSON, K. D., WILLIAMS, J. M., AZEVEDO, L. J., KWAK, J. F. & SCHIRBER, J. E. (1985). Inorg. Chem. 24, 2465–2466.
- WILLIAMS, J. M., WANG, H. H., BENO, M. A., EMGE, T. J., SOWA, L. M., COPPS, P. T., BEHROOZI, F., HALL, L. N., CARLSON, K. D. & CRABTREE, G. W. (1984). *Inorg. Chem.* 23, 3839–3841.
- WILLIAMS, J. M., WANG, H. H., EMGE, T. J., GEISER, U., BENO, M.
 A., LEUNG, P. C. W., CARLSON, K. D., THORN, R. J., SCHULTZ,
 A. J. & WHANGBO, M.-H. (1987). Prog. Inorg. Chem. 35, 51-218.
- YAGUBSKII, E. B., SHCHEGOLEV, I. F., LAUKHIN, V. N., KONONOVICH, P. A., KARTSOVNIK, M. W., ZVARYKINA, A. V. & BURAVOV, L. I. (1984). *JETP Lett.* (English transl.), **39**, 12–15.

Acta Cryst. (1988). C44, 1547-1549

Structure of Dibromo(1,10-phenanthroline)copper(II)

By M. T. GARLAND AND D. GRANDJEAN

Université de Rennes I, Laboratoire de Cristallochimie, UA au CNRS nº 254, Campus de Beaulieu, F-35042 Rennes CEDEX, France

E. SPODINE AND A. M. ATRIA

Facultad de Ciencias Químicas y Farmacéuticas, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

AND J. MANZUR

Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

(Received 4 December 1987; accepted 21 March 1988)

Abstract. $[CuBr_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)]_{\infty}$, $M_r = 403.55$, monoclinic, $C2_1$, a = 10.005 (2), b = 6.526 (2), c = 10.314 (2)Å, $\beta = 117.52$ (1)°, V = 597.3 (4)Å³, Z = 2, $D_x = 2.243$ Mg m⁻³, λ (Mo K α) = 0.71069Å, $\mu = 8.963$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 386, T = 291 K, R = 0.057 for 746 unique observed reflections. The Cu atom displays a tetragonally elongated (4 + 2) coordination. The four in-plane bonds are to the two N atoms of the 1,10-phenanthroline molecule and to the *cis* Br atoms. The out-of-plane bonds are to the Br atoms of the Cu centres above and below, which form the chain. The complex is polymeric in the crystal $[Cu-N(1) = 2.033 (8), Cu-N(2) = 2.048 (8), Cu-Br(1) = 2.386 (2), Cu-Br(2) = 2.410 (1), Cu-Br(2^{ii}) = Cu-Br(2^{i}) = 3.286 (1) Å].$

Introduction. Polymeric copper(II) compounds are known to have doubly bridged chains and singly bridged chains (Hatfield, 1985). However, polymeric structures in which one halide ligand is bound to three adjacent Cu atoms while the others are terminal are rare. This kind of bridging geometry is known for

0108-2701/88/091547-03\$03.00

© 1988 International Union of Crystallography

dibromo[2-(2-aminoethyl)pyridine]copper(II) and dibromo(2-methyl-1,2-diaminopropane)copper(II) (Helis, Goodman, Wilson, Morgan & Hodgson, 1977) and for hydrazinium trichlorocuprate(II) (Brown, Donner, Hall, Wilson, Wilson, Hodgson & Hatfield, 1979).

We here report the synthesis and structural determination of dibromo(1,10-phenanthroline)copper(II), $[Cu(phen)Br_2]_{\infty}$, which has a similar ladder-like bridging geometry.

Experimental. The complex was prepared by addition of 1 mmol of anhydrous CuBr_2 to a solution containing 1 mmol of 1,10-phenanthroline in freshly distilled ethanol. The reaction mixture was refluxed, and a microcrystalline solid formed when the solution was cooled. The solid was recrystallized from hot dimethylacetamide.

Crystal dimensions $0.10 \times 0.08 \times 0.08$ mm; Nonius CAD-4 four-circle diffractometer, cell dimensions from 2θ values of 25 reflections, $4.46 \le \theta \le 13.37^{\circ}$, integrated reflections collected up to $(\sin\theta)/\lambda \le 0.595 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. ω -2 θ scan technique, scan width $(1.0 + 0.35 \tan \theta)^\circ$, $0 \le h \le 11, 0 \le k \le 6, -12 \le l \le 10$; variable scan rate with max, scan time 60 s per reflection; no significant decline in intensities of three standard reflections: decay 2.8% during 15 h of irradiation; no absorption correction and no time-decay corrections applied; 1079 unique reflections after averaging ($R_{int} = 0.22$), 746 reflections with $F^2 > 3\sigma(F^2)$; structure solution by MULTAN80 (Main, Fiske, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq & Woolfson, 1980) refined by full-matrix least squares based on F_o , weights based on counting statistics: $1/w = \sigma^2(F) = \frac{1}{4} \{ [\sigma^2(I) + (0.05I)^2] / I \}$ (Stout & Jensen, 1968); atomic scattering factors for neutral atoms from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974); H atoms placed at idealized positions with fixed isotropic thermal parameters and not refined (4.5 Å^2) ; anisotropic thermal parameters for all other atoms, refinement converged to R = 0.057 and wR = 0.069; goodness of fit S = 1.576 for 104 refined parameters; largest shift over e.s.d. in the last cycle = 0.01; largest residual peak in final Fourier map $0.88 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ except for four peaks of 1.87, 1.26, 1.25and $1.18 \text{ e} \text{ }^{A-3}$ in the neighbourhood of Br atoms. All computer programs from the Enraf-Nonius SDP package (Frenz, 1978).

Discussion. Table 1 lists the fractional atomic coordinates of non-H atoms, Table 2 the bond lengths and angles.* Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic B ($Å^2$) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

q = u = u = v = v

	x	У	Ζ	Bea
Br(1)	0.0954 (1)	0.250	0.7823 (1)	5.53 (4)
Br(2)	-0.1475 (1)	0.250	0-9339 (1)	3.76 (3)
Cu	0.1219(1)	0.250	1.0244 (1)	3.49 (4)
N(1)	0.3526 (9)	0.250	1.1220 (9)	2.6 (2)
N(2)	0.163(1)	0.250	1-2369 (9)	3.4 (2)
C(1)	0.417 (1)	0.250	1.268 (1)	2.2 (2)
C(2)	0.571 (1)	0.250	1.359 (1)	3.3 (3)
C(3)	0.661 (1)	0.250	1.290 (1)	4.5 (4)
C(4)	0.600 (1)	0.250	1.142 (1)	5.2 (4)
C(5)	0.443 (1)	0.250	1.060(1)	3.4 (3)
C(6)	0.070 (1)	0.250	1.292 (1)	5.1 (4)
C(7)	0.116(1)	0.250	1.442 (1)	5 1 (4)
C(8)	0.262 (1)	0.250	1.537 (1)	3.6 (3)
C(9)	0.372 (1)	0.250	1.484 (1)	2.6 (3)
C(10)	0.311 (1)	0.250	1.330(1)	2.4 (2)
C(11)	0.529 (1)	0.250	1.569(1)	3.0 (3)
C(12)	0.622 (1)	0.250	1.514 (1)	3.9 (3)

Table 2. Intramolecular bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) and selected intermolecular bond lengths (Å) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

Cu-Cu ⁱ	3.964 (2)	C(1)-C(10)	1.464 (13)
Cu—Br(2 ⁱ)	3.286 (2)	C(2) - C(3)	1.38 (2)
Cu-Br(1)	2.386 (2)	C(2) - C(12)	1.43 (2)
Cu—Br (2)	2.410 (1)	C(3) - C(4)	1.36 (2)
Cu-N(1)	2.048 (8)	C(4) - C(5)	1.40 (2)
Cu—N(2)	2.033 (8)	C(6)-C(7)	1.39 (2)
N(1) - C(1)	1.338 (12)	C(7)-C(8)	1.34 (2)
N(1)-C(5)	1.324 (13)	C(8) - C(9)	1.426 (15)
N(2) - C(6)	1.304 (14)	C(9) - C(10)	1.417 (13)
N(2) - C(10)	1.341 (13)	C(9) - C(11)	1.400 (14)
C(1)-C(2)	1.388 (14)	C(11)-C(12)	1.30 (2)
			. ,
Br(2 ¹)—Cu—Br(2)	93.23 (3)	C(3)-C(2)-C(12)	126. (1)
Cu ⁱ -Cu-Cu ⁱⁱ	110.81 (5)	C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	121. (1)
Br(1)–Cu–Br(2)	91•79 (6)	C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	118. (1)
Br(1)-Cu-N(1)	94.0 (2)	N(1)-C(5)-C(4)	122. (1)
Br(1)–Cu–N(2)	175-3 (3)	N(2)-C(6)-C(7)	123. (1)
Br(2)-Cu-N(1)	174-3 (2)	C(6)-C(7)-C(8)	120. (1)
Br(2)–Cu–N(2)	93.0 (2)	C(7)–C(8)–C(9)	119-7 (9)
N(1)-Cu-N(2)	81.2 (3)	C(8)-C(9)-C(10)	115-0 (9)
C(1) - N(1) - C(5)	117.8 (9)	C(8)-C(9)-C(11)	126-7 (9)
C(6) - N(2) - C(10)	117.6 (9)	C(10)-C(9)-C(11)	118. (1)
N(1) - C(1) - C(2)	125. (1)	N(2)-C(10)-C(1)	117-8 (8)
N(1) - C(1) - C(10)	115-2 (8)	N(2)-C(10)-C(9)	124-2 (9)
C(2) - C(1) - C(10)	120.3 (9)	C(1)-C(10)-C(9)	118-1 (9)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	116. (1)	C(9)-C(11)-C(12)) 124. (1)
C(1) - C(2) - C(12)	118.(1)	C(2)-C(12)-C(11)	122.(1)

Symmetry operations: (i) -x, -y, -z + 1; (ii) -x, 1-y, -z + 1.

The crystal can be described as consisting of chains with ladder-like geometry along the y axis, in which the monomeric units are linked by Cu-Br bonds (Fig. 1). The monomeric molecule is planar and lies perpendicular to the b axis at y = 0.25 and y = 0.75. This unit is repeated along two polymeric chains related by two dimeric entities Cu₂Br, forming the ladder.

The dihedral angle between the two planar units $Cu-Br(2)-Cu^{i}-Br(2^{i})$ and $Cu-Br(2)-Cu^{ii}-Br(2^{ii})$ is $11\cdot8^{\circ}$.

^{*} Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H-atom parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 44876 (10 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

One bromo ligand is bound to three adjacent Cu atoms, while the other is terminal. The coordination around each Cu atom is a tetragonally elongated (4 + 2) octahedron. The coordination polyhedron is defined by two N atoms from the 1,10-phenanthroline molecule and by four Br atoms, two of which link the monomeric unit. The structure of the monomer is illustrated in Fig. 2, which also shows the atomic numbering scheme [Cu-N(1) = 2.033 (8), Cu-N (2) = 2.048 (8), Cu-Br (1) = 2.386 (2), Cu-Br (2) = 2.410 (1), Cu-Br (2ⁱ) = Cu-Br (2ⁱⁱ) = 3.286 (2) Å].

The C-N bonds are comparable, but somewhat shorter than those found for the mixed copper(II) complexes (1,10-phenanthroline)(salicylaldehydato)-copper(II) nitrate (Solans, Ruiz-Ramirez, Gasque & Briansó, 1987) and (1,10-phenanthroline)(salicylaldehydato)copper(II) perchlorate (Garland, Grandjean & Spodine, 1987). The C-N bonds of the 1,10-phenanthroline molecule are C(1)-N(1) = 1.338 (12), C(5)-N(1) = 1.324 (13), C(6)-N(2) = 1.304 (14), C(10)-N(2) = 1.341 (13) Å.



Fig. 1. A schematic view of the polymeric molecule.



Fig. 2. A perspective view of the monomeric molecule with H atoms excluded for clarity.

The same bonds in the mixed-copper complexes range from 1.312 (5) to 1.351 (5) Å for the nitrate and from 1.327 (4) to 1.357 (4) for the perchlorate complex. The same is observed for the C-C bonds.

The long axial distances are $Cu-Br(2^{i}) = 3.286 (1) \text{ Å}$. These out-of-plane distances are longer than the values found for dibromol 2-(2-aminomethyl)-pyridinelcopper(II) $|Cu-Br(2^{i}) = 3.260 (6) \text{ Å}|$ and for dibromo(2-methyl-1,2-diaminopropane)copper(II), $|Cu-Br(2^{i}) = 3.109 (2) \text{ Å}|$ (Helis *et al.*, 1977).

The Cu–Cuⁱ separation in the chain is 3.964 (1) Å. This Cu–Cuⁱ distance is also longer than the value found for dibromo|2-(2-aminomethyl)pyridine]copper(II) [Cu–Cuⁱ = 3.737 (6) Å] and for the dibromo(2-methyl-1,2-diaminopropane)copper(II) complex [Cu–Cuⁱ = 3.866 (2) Å] (Helis *et al.*, 1977). The 2-(2-aminomethyl)pyridine complex has a very acute Cu–Br–Cuⁱ angle of 80.77 (4)°, while the (2-methyl-1,2-diaminopropane)copper(II) complex has a Cu– Br–Cuⁱ angle of 87.56 (3)°. This last value is similar to the one found for the present 1,10-phenanthroline complex [Cu–Br–Cuⁱ angle: 86.77 (3)°]. These bridging angles are comparable with the reported values for other copper(II) dimers and polymers, which range from 85.7 to 100.4° (Hatfield, 1985).

This research is supported in part by the Departamento de Investigación y Bibliotecas, Universidad de Chile (Q 1872-8744). FONDECYT (8011). ES and AMA acknowledge financial support from 'Fundación Andes'.

References

- BROWN, D. B., DONNER, J. A., HALL, J. W., WILSON, S. R., WILSON, R. B., HODGSON, D. J. & HATFIELD, W. E. (1979). *Inorg. Chem.* 18, 2635-2641.
- FRENZ, B. A. (1978). The Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 Structure Determination Package. A Real-Time System for Concurrent X-ray Data Collection and Crystal Structure Solution. In Computing in Crystallography, edited by H. SCHENK, R. OLTHOF-HAZEKAMP, H. VAN KONINGSVELD & G. C. BASSI, pp. 64-71. Delft Univ. Press.
- GARLAND, M. T., GRANDJEAN, D. & SPODINE, E. (1987). Acta Crist. C43, 1910-1912.
- HATFIELD, W. E. (1985). Magneto-Structural Correlations in Exchange Coupled Systems, edited by R. D. WILLETT et al., pp. 555-616. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- HELIS, H. M., GOODMAN, W. H., WILSON, R. B., MORGAN, J. A. & HODGSON, D. J. (1977). Inorg. Chem. 16, 2412-2416.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- MAIN, P., FISKE, S. J., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J.-P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1980). MULTAN80. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- SOLANS, X., RUIZ-RAMIREZ, L., GASQUE, L. & BRIANSÓ, J. L. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 428–430.
- STOUT, G. H. & JENSEN, L. H. (1968). X-ray Structure Determination. New York: Macmillan.